Item No. 11.	Classification: Open	Date: 21 January 2012	Meeting Name: Walworth Community Council
Report title:		Community Council Highways Capital Investment 2012/13	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		All in the Community Council areas	
From:		Gill Davies, Director Environment and Leisure	

RECOMMENDATION

1. To agree the works to be funded from the proposed schemes in the Walworth Community Council area as set out in Appendix 1 or to agree alternative schemes subject to officer investigation and feasibility.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 2. The declining quality of public highway coupled with extreme weather events has led to further deterioration in recent years with some non principal, unclassified roads being particularly affected. Given the nature of these roads and the lower level of traffic flows it is unlikely that such locations will feature in any major resurfacing programme. Without the necessary capital allocation to attend to such locations, complaints of poor road surfaces can only be dealt with through the reactive maintenance programme.
- 3. The Council's non-principal road investment programme prioritises works on non-principal roads on a borough-wide basis and this investment forms the largest part of the annual investment programme.
- 4. However, as part of the approved Highways capital investment programme for 2012/13 a proportion has been devolved to each community council area. Each Community Council will receive £100,000 for highways surface improvements (carriageway or footway) of their choice. These can be spent on any non-principal road on the area. Any under spends from previous years can also be allocated, up to the available funding outlined in Appendix 1.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

Officers (Engineers) Selections

5. As an aid to the selection process officers have provided a range of required works and indicative costs for their implementation. These exclude works already programmed as part of the borough-wide priority programme. These are shown in Appendix 1. Appendix 1 also includes detail of under spends remaining from previous years.

Community Council Selections

6. This money can be spent on any asset renewal or replacement project selected by the Community Council with the caveats that it cannot be spent on traffic safety or parking schemes, non functional or decorative installations and / or non-essential works. In addition to the resurfacing selections provided it, the money (or part thereof) could be spent on minor patching and pothole repairs should a Community Council wish to do so.

Delivery

7. Once the Community Council has made their selections by the method of their choice they will be designed and delivered as soon as possible in 2012/13. Any under spends or projected overspends will be reported back to Community Council for resolution or reallocation.

Community Impact Statement

8. There are no specific community impact issues arising from the recommendations.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Highways Capital	160 Tooley Street	Matthew Hill 020 7525 3541
Investment Programme	PO Box 64529	Or Franklin Uwakaneme 020
Decision 18 August 2011	Southwark Council London SE1P 5LX	7525 2207

APPENDICES

No.	Title
Appendix 1	Devolved community-council-funded candidate schemes

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Gill Davies, Director Environment and Leisure			
Report Author	Matthew Hill, Public Realm Programme Manager/			
	Franklin Uwakaneme, PRP - Community Programmes Manager			
Version	Final			
Dated	20 October 2011			
Key Decision?	No			
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES				
Officer Title		Comments Sought	Comments included	
Strategic Director of	of Communities,	No	No	
Law & Governance				
Finance Director		No	No	
Date final report sent to the Constitutional Team20 October 2011				

APPENDIX 1

Devolved community-council-funded candidate schemes

Funding

Community Council: Walworth Date: 10 October 2011 Under spend from previous years £0

Allocation for FY 2012/13 £100,000

Total available for 2012/13 £100,000.00

Officer Recommendations – 2012/13

Candidate Road	Ward	Identified defects	Suggested treatment	Estimated Cost
Manor Place	Newington	Carriageway: Occupation Rd to Penton Place.	Carriageway: Plane off and resurface (40 mm);	£33,600
		Subsiding trench reinstatements; local deformations;	some partial /full depth reconstruction and	
		longitudinal and transverse cracking; aggregate	regulating course may be required at some	
		spalling.	locations.	
Alberta St	Newington	Carriageway: Subsiding trench reinstatements;	Carriageway: Plane off and resurface (40mm)	£37,500
		Local subsidence; surface degrading	between VISIT; reinstate road marking	
Fleming St	Newington	Carriageway: Problems with sub base / grade	Carriageway: Full depth reconstruction and relay	£18,000
		failure over a 50m section causing significant	; possible remedial work on sub grade required if	
		subsidence. Recent make safe overlay showing	highlighted during excavation; reinstatement of	
		signs of failure.	road marking	
Burton Grove	Faraday	Carriageway: Areas of patching in various areas of	Carriageway: Plane off and resurface (40mm)	£14,000
		degradation; pothole make safes.	between Portland St and Villa St ; reinstate road	
			marking	
Aylesbury Grove	Faraday	Carriageway: Repeated patching in various stages	Carriageway: Plane off and resurface (40mm)	£25,000
		of degradation; aggregate stripping; rutting;		
0 01		longitudinal and transverse cracking.	0	000 100
Congreve St	East Walworth	Carriageway: Frequent transverse cracking;	Carriageway: Plane off and resurface (40mm)	£38,400
		longitudinal cracking along centerline; collapsing	between East St and Massinger St; some full	
		trench reinstatement; subsidence near manhole.	depth construction required; also limited channel	
			re-profiling and making good disturbed footway;	
			reinstatement of two sets of speed cushions and	
T 1 01		Oznaka manusum 50 ma of mand in a wholiding the site	road marking.	000 000
Townley St	East Walworth	Carriageway: 50 m of road is subsiding despite a	Carriageway: Full depth reconstruction and sub	£20,000
		large make safe being applied during the past year.	grade replacement; new 100m pavement	
		Probably due to sub grade failure.		